



| Project ID: | ChTran3 | Project Name: | Children in our Care | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Organisatio nal Challenge Statement (Inc Local/servic es issues): | Stockton has a CLA population of 133 per 10k children population compared with a statistical neighbour average of approx. 82 CLA per 10k making Stockton a significant outlier in terms of the number and therefore cost of CLA. There are currently 587 children experiencing care in Stockton. As per the national picture, overspend on placements is putting pressure on the Children's (and wider council) budget and several contributing factors have been identified: Rising numbers of children in care with an increase of children who require specialist provision that can support complex needs, The need for more targeted & needs-led Edge of Care provision, Commissioning, data and monitoring of placements, An ageing cohort of (in-house) Foster carers, Increasing numbers and costs of Independent Fostering Agencies (IFAs), | | | | | |
| | homeNumbIncons | with parents, ers of 15/16/17-yea sistency in the qualit | r-olds entering the care syste ty of practice. | | | |
| Director Lead: | Elaine Red McCarthy | ding/Majella | Project Sponsor: Project Delivery Manager: | Ruth Lacey Francesca Magog | | |
| Project Team: | Jane Smi Dave Wi Martin S Debbie F Lisa Tagu Ricky Co Lucy Coo Consulta Edwards | llingham kipsey Farrow ue oper ok | Key Stakeholders: | Children, Young People and Families Cabinet Members Children's Services Workforce Partners (Health etc) | | |
| In Scope: | social wo practice position fostering and edge and stab strategy. Commission procurer partners Potentia Spark of It covers provision below: Child | nt operational and ork assessment and financial including g, reunification, e of care processes ale loving homes | Out of Scope: | Restructuring of senior leadership team Adolescent and MACE Teams/Function Delivery of the Strengthening Services Plan | | |

| ocament was c | assilieu as. Official | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|--|
| | Staying put/supported lodgings Independent Fostering Arrangements (IFA) Special Guardianship Order (SGO) Child Arrangement Order Supported Accommodation | | | | | | |
| Constraints: | Reduced budget envelope in line with | Financial Position: | Budget Enve | _ | TBC | | |
| | Medium Term Financial | 1 001010111 | Budget Forecast 23/24: | | TBC | ГВС | |
| | Plan ■ Budget Envelope for | | Est Budget Envelope Reduction: | | | | |
| Transformation not identified. | | 24/25 | 25/26 | | 26/27 | | |
| | Meeting Ofsted | | TBC | TBC | | TBC | |
| | standards in line with focused visit early 2024 | | | | | | |
| | DFE funding based on homes to be purchased | | | | | | |
| | by the end of March 2024 | | | | | | |
| | Changes to National policy | | | | | | |
| | Current provider market | | | | | | |

Baseline Performance:

Baseline Data

At the time of the initial inception of the project the following data was available.

• Number of Children in care broken down by placement type (31.10.23)

| | 0 – 4 years | 5 – 10 years | 11 – 15 | 16+ years | Total |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| | | | years | | |
| Special Guardianship | 41 | 107 | 89 | 23 | 260 |
| CIOC – Connected Persons | 23 | 56 | 54 | 21 | 154 |
| SBC Fostering | 22 | 27 | 32 | 21 | 102 |
| External Fostering (IFA) | 10 | 42 | 38 | 29 | 119 |
| Total | 96 | 232 | 213 | 94 | 635 |

Outcomes to be achieved: (The impact of activities on the organisation/customers and/or the wider population)

- The number of children in our care reduces, and more children stay in their family home.
- Where children are placed in our care, it will ensure that placements are cost effective and meet the required standards and agreed needs of the child.
- Reduction in costs and financial sustainability.

| Key Milestones / Deliverables (The things that need to be achieved/delivered to achieve outcomes) | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|
| Description: | Due Date: | | |
| Assessment of Need / Reduction in Children in Care | | | |
| Analysis of all external residential placements and Supported Accommodation to | May 24 | | |
| - Identify cohorts of need / service requirement to inform commissioning plans, | | | |
| - Children currently in Care and projections. | | | |

| ocument was classified as: OFFICIAL | |
|---|----------|
| Assessment of current external placements and supported accommodation to articulate plans for movement / potential for movement. | March 24 |
| Review of approach to identifying and recording of children's needs to inform commission and | Mar 24 |
| procurement. This should include outcomes to be achieved and long-term plan. Review of panels, decision process for Children's care placements. | Feb 24 |
| Clarity of decision making regarding any new initiatives or approval of additional support for children | Feb 24 |
| or carers | |
| Development and Implementation of Edge of Care Model - Establish Team, | Dec 23 |
| - Develop practice model, | |
| - Develop mechanism for monitoring success of service. | |
| Develop arrangements to implement where plans to move children identified. | May 24 |
| Data and Information | - 1 |
| Baseline of current process and data quality for monitoring active children's placement including | Dec 23 |
| financial commitments. | DCC 23 |
| Carryout process review to identify opportunities for process improvement, digitisation, improved quality of data and consistency with finance information. This includes opportunities for streamlining and rationalisation. | March 24 |
| Commissioning, Procurement and Contract Management Approach | |
| Deliver a series of workshops for key internal stakeholders to identify their understanding of current Commissioning process at operational and strategic level | Oct 23 |
| Undertake process mapping sessions with key stakeholders to visually represent current workflows to bring a child into care and secure a placement, to identify gaps and duplication | Nov 23 |
| Design a proposed commissioning cycle at operational and strategic levels clearly defining the tasks to be undertaken at each stage and the resource needed. Propose staffing structure to deliver on this. | Dec 23 |
| Review of all existing external residential & supported accommodation placements – assess current contract arrangements and pricing | Jan 24 |
| Development of a detailed transition / training plan to move to the revised staffing structure and commissioning cycle | Jan 24 |
| Implementation of revised staffing structure and commissioning cycle | April 24 |
| Identify current and future needs/requirements for residential provision with the Borough | Jan 24 |
| Development of a strategy for residential provision within the Borough – including Stockton owned homes and Spark of Genius | Apr 24 |
| Development and implementation of a transition plan to move to the new model | May 24 |
| Maximise utilisation and occupancy of SBC owned Childrens homes: - Plans for Ayton Place - Plans for Piper - Oak View - Tilery - Rose House - Our Place - Hartburn Lodge | |
| Development of Plans and business case for: | May 24 |
| - Mother and Baby Unit. | |
| Potential Development of Spark of Genius Joint Venture | |
| Develop a business plan for the delivery of 5 x 3 bed properties to support Children currently in unregulated provision. | June 24 |
| Develop a Business Plan for provision of a Residential Educational Setting for Children in our Care and to support SEND provision. | June 24 |
| Development of plans and business case for Speeton Close. | April 24 |
| Fostering | |
| Review and baseline of current approach to fostering and SGOs and compare to best practice. | Dec 23 |
| | 1 |

| Scamene was classified as. Of reliae | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Understand current cohort trends and analysis to establish a long-term view of foster care and SGO requirements. | Jan 24 |
| Develop a strategy and a model of support for Foster Carers to meet the long-term needs/requirements of foster care and SGO provision including avoiding breakdowns. | Jan 24 |
| Development and implementation of a marketing strategy. | Feb 24 |
| Localised and targeted recruitment of foster carers to meet children's needs particularly adolescents. | Apr 24 |
| Reunification | |
| Transfer of Reunification team to CIOC to strengthen offer. | Feb 24 |
| Development of a training programme to support practitioners with implementation of reunification policy and approach. | Apr 24 |
| Implementation of NSPCC practice model of policy for approach to reunification. | May 24 |
| Project Evaluation and Closure | |
| Benefits Realisation | Feb 24 / Feb 25 / Feb 26 |
| Revised budget envelope | Apr 24 / Apr 25 / Apr 26 |
| Evaluation | Apr 26 |
| | |

Best Practice Model(s): (Areas of 'better' practice' and how this review could use/link to them to deliver an alternative model)

- Edge of Care provision using Mockingbird models including a therapeutic approach building on wider family network capacity (Leeds examples).
- Financial Modelling practice and approach to placements Carl Edwards
- Valuing care Norfolk Inner Circle.
- Stockton is working closely with North Tyneside under Sector Lead Improvement Work (SLIP). North Tyneside have a successful and well-established model. North Tyneside will be assisting Stockton Borough Council in establishing and building the foundations of a successful model.

Key Resources / Skills Required: (Resource and time needed to meet deliverables and ensure outcome are met)

- Subject Matter Experts: Project Team identified above
- Finance: Lisa Tague
- Data Provision/Analysis: James O'Donnell
- ICT/Digital Transformation: Helen Ruddick
- Comms and Marketing Emma Chudley
- PMO Jo Wilkinson
- Business Analyst: Inner Circle

| Benefits: (A benefit is a measurable improvement resulting from the changes and outcomes introduced by a programme) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Description | Measure | Туре | | |
| Reduction of children entering our care system. | Number of children in care | Improved Health / Outcomes / Reduced Future Costs. | | |
| Of the children are currently within our care, Increase the number reunified with their family. | Number, average time spent in and cost of children in care | Improved Health / Outcomes / Reduced Future Costs. | | |
| Reduced use of high-cost and IFA placements and make better use of remaining placement options which are more cost effective and better meet the needs of children. | Number and cost of children in high-cost residential care Number and cost of children in IFA placements | Improved Health / Outcomes from Children / Reduced Future Costs. | | |
| Increase capacity of foster carers to reduce number of children in residential and IFA placements. | Number of SBC Foster carers | Improved Health / Outcomes from Children / Reduced Future Costs. | | |

| Jocument was classified as: Official | | _ |
|--|--|--|
| | Number of children in IFA placements | |
| Effective demand management and budget forecasting that supports provision of appropriate placements and financial sustainability. | Availability of real time data /financial information with reduced number of discrepancies | Improved availability and data quality. |
| Reduce the number of SBC owned children's residential homes and make better use of effective partnership arrangements to ensure the priority is given to SBC children. | Number of SBC residential homes/beds. | Partnership Working Improved Health/Outcomes/Reduced Future Costs. |
| Reduced number of complaints received from placements not meeting the needs of children. | Number of complaints received. | Improved Health/Outcomes from Children. |
| Procurement contracts are fit for purpose and robustly managed achieving best value and meet the needs of the child. | Establishment of a quality assurance framework. | Improved Health/Outcomes from Children/Reduced Future Costs. |
| Improved/Sustained Ofsted feedback on Childrens Homes. | Score/rating 2023. | Compliance Improved Process/Improved Health/Outcomes/Improved satisfaction. |
| Improved/Sustained Reg 44 visitor feedback-Childrens Homes. | Score/rating 2023. | Compliance/Improved Process/Improved Health/ Outcomes/Improved satisfaction. |
| Spark of Genius prioritise SBC children and bed base increases. | Numbers of SBC children placed by Spark of Genius at the time placement is required. | Reduced Future Costs. |
| Sustainable experience and qualified workforce. | Staff turnover rate. | Improved Health/Outcomes from Children/Reduced Future Costs. |
| Ensuring that interventions are sustainable and reduce longer term costs of children coming in to care unnecessarily. | Performance framework will be developed to monitor and track longer term outcomes for children. Cost avoidance and saving trackers will be introduced to identify the potential avoidance costs as a result of interventions. | Improved Health/Outcomes from Children/Reduced Future Costs. |
| Joint working with other services to ensure that interventions are timely and proportionate to promote stability whilst addressing need. | Multi-agency meetings established and embedded. Robust multi-agency plans developed and implemented. | Improved Health/Outcomes from Children/Reduced Future Costs Partnership Working. |

Risks: (Factors / barriers that may potentially impact the successful delivery of the project)

- Market conditions/forces Shortage of appropriate placements and significant costs.
- Capacity and skills within the service to deliver including various arrangements in place around the corporate and senior management team.
- Culture and willingness to adopt new ways of working.
- Recruitment and retention of foster carers.
- Buildings and locations.
- Ability to reshape the Partnership with Spark of Genius to meet our needs.
- DFE Grant Funding was granted for two specific types of homes to be delivered and need to be purchased by the end of March 2023. Current thinking alludes to a change of remit and delivery of proposed plan.
- Ability to recruit and retain qualified and experienced employees to vacant posts.
- Not identifying the most appropriate children and families to promote the success of interventions, e.g. too late.
- Significant demand from Social Care, which may outweigh capacity. This can result in diluted interventions which are not sustainable and result in children coming in to care in the longer term.

Commissioned consultancy work does not deliver expected outcomes.

Interdependencies: (Work within other tasks/projects both in the POF or wider organisational activity that has a potential to impact the project progress or sequence of activity)

- Strengthening service plan
- Workforce planning recruitment and retention.
- Commissioned work:
 - Review of the child's voice within our internal residential Homes Sharmain Lawrence Completed Jan 24.
 - Practice and quality assurance (with a workforce training and development aspect) Nicola Wyatt.
 - Commissioned work from Peopletoo reviewing high-cost cases that require joint funding from Health partners.
- Early Help and Interventions. The current interventions are delivered by Family Solutions Team and Reunification Teams, who also provide support co-working support within Social Care for a range of complexities, delivering Early Help interventions and supporting step-downs from Social Care. Moving the function to a dedicated team would free up resources and create capacity within both Family Solutions and Reunification who will be moving to CIOC in Feb 24.
- Transitions a portion of these children and young people will require social care support during adulthood so will form part of the remit of a future Transitions service.
- Partnerships existing partnerships with health (e.g. Health Visitors), schools, Early Years providers, and wider groups will have a role to play in the new model (e.g. early diagnosis through visits).
- SEND High Needs Some CIOC have SEND High Needs.
- Community Transport Some CIOC are transported to schools and other venues.
- Admin the work of this group will inform change across all services.

| Approved By: | Date: | |
|--------------|-------|--|
| | | |